UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,697	12/12/2003	Ralph V. Clayman	ADIV-1593	1218
	7590 12/27/2007 MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION ida Empresa		EXAMINER KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M	
Rancho Santa N	Margarita, CA 92688		ADIV-1593 1218 EXAMINER KOEHLER, CHRISTOPHER M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3726	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
				p
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- CT
t .	10/734,697	CLAYMAN ET AL.	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher M. Koehler	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		UTILION OR THIRTY (20) DA	VC
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> ,		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 8-13 is/are pending in the application	.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 8-13 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
o) Claim(s) <u>0-70</u> are subject to restriction under	ciconom requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			21(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eceived in this National Stage	е
application from the International Burea		:	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	ceivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/i	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		rmal Patent Application	

10/734,697 Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- 2. Species A, corresponding to claims 9 and 10, drawn to interlocking the core and the coil with an enlargement.
- 3. Species B, corresponding to claims 11-13, drawn to interlocking the core and the coil with a convolution bridge.
- 4. The species are independent or distinct because of the reasons given above.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 8 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3726

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

10/734,697

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Koehler whose telephone number is (571) 272-3560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:30A-4:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMK

DAVID P. BRYANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12/21/07